

Pricing and risk of financial products

Prof. Dr. Christian Weiß

Riga, 27.02.2018



HOCHSCHULE RUHR WEST
UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES

Observations

- AAA bonds are typically regarded as risk-free investment.
- Only examples: Government bonds of Australia, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Singapur, Sweden and Switzerland

Observations

- AAA bonds are typically regarded as risk-free investment.
- Only examples: Government bonds of Australia, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Singapur, Sweden and Switzerland
- Latvian government bonds are rated A-.

Observations

- AAA bonds are typically regarded as risk-free investment.
- Only examples: Government bonds of Australia, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Singapur, Sweden and Switzerland
- Latvian government bonds are rated A-.
- Bonds and equity of any company are always regarded as risky asset.

Observations

- AAA bonds are typically regarded as risk-free investment.
- Only examples: Government bonds of Australia, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Singapur, Sweden and Switzerland
- Latvian government bonds are rated A-.
- Bonds and equity of any company are always regarded as risky asset.

A simple market model

One-step binomial tree

Let us assume that the price of a stock at $t = 0$ is equal to $S(0) = 100\text{€}$. The price at $t = 1$ is, of course, not known to the investor. There are two possibilities which can happen, namely

$$S(1) = \begin{cases} 140\text{€} & \text{with probability 50\%} \\ 80\text{€} & \text{with probability 50\%}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the return of the stock is given by

$$K(1) = \frac{S(1) - S(0)}{S(0)} = \begin{cases} +40\% & \text{with probability 50\%} \\ -20\% & \text{with probability 50\%}. \end{cases}$$

What should be the price of the stock?

A simple market model

One-step binomial tree

Let us assume that the price of a stock at $t = 0$ is equal to $S(0) = 100\text{€}$. The price at $t = 1$ is, of course, not known to the investor. There are two possibilities which can happen, namely

$$S(1) = \begin{cases} 140\text{€} & \text{with probability } 50\% \\ 80\text{€} & \text{with probability } 50\%. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the return of the stock is given by

$$K(1) = \frac{S(1) - S(0)}{S(0)} = \begin{cases} +40\% & \text{with probability } 50\% \\ -20\% & \text{with probability } 50\%. \end{cases}$$

What should be the price of the stock?

Risk measures (1/4)

Exercise

Let the interest rate be $r = 2\%$ and let the risky return $K(1)$ be

$$K(1) = \begin{cases} 4\% & \text{with probability } 40\% \\ -2\% & \text{with probability } 60\%. \end{cases}$$

How do we measure the inherent risk of the stock?

Risk measures (2/4)

Variance and standard deviation

The variability of a random variable X with mean μ is typically measured by the **variance** $\sigma^2(X) := E((X - \mu)^2)$ or its square root, the **standard deviation**, $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2(X)}$.

Example

The expected return of the last example is

$$E(K(1)) = 0.04 \cdot 0.4 + (-0.02) \cdot 0.6 = 0.004$$

and the variance is

$$\sigma^2(K(1)) = (0.04 - 0.004)^2 \cdot 0.4 + (-0.02 - 0.004)^2 \cdot 0.6 = 0.000864.$$

Finally, we calculate the standard deviation as $\sigma \approx 0.029$.

Risk measures (2/4)

Variance and standard deviation

The variability of a random variable X with mean μ is typically measured by the **variance** $\sigma^2(X) := E((X - \mu)^2)$ or its square root, the **standard deviation**, $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2(X)}$.

Example

The expected return of the last example is

$$E(K(1)) = 0.04 \cdot 0.4 + (-0.02) \cdot 0.6 = 0.004$$

and the variance is

$$\sigma^2(K(1)) = (0.04 - 0.004)^2 \cdot 0.4 + (-0.02 - 0.004)^2 \cdot 0.6 = 0.000864.$$

Finally, we calculate the standard deviation as $\sigma \approx 0.029$.

Risk measures (3/4)

Risk measure

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of random variables. A **risk measure** is a function $\rho : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.

Desirable properties

Let X, Y be two arbitrary random variables, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. A risk measure ρ is called **coherent** if it satisfies the following properties

- (i) **Translation invariance:** $\rho(X + a) = \rho(X) + a$
- (ii) **Positive homogeneity:** $\rho(\lambda X) = \lambda\rho(X)$.
- (iii) **Monotonicity:** If $P(X \leq Y) = 1$, then $\rho(X) \leq \rho(Y)$.
- (iv) **Subadditivity:** $\rho(X + Y) \leq \rho(X) + \rho(Y)$

Risk measures (3/4)

Risk measure

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of random variables. A **risk measure** is a function $\rho : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.

Desirable properties

Let X, Y be two arbitrary random variables, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. A risk measure ρ is called **coherent** if it satisfies the following properties

- (i) **Translation invariance:** $\rho(X + a) = \rho(X) + a$
- (ii) **Positive homogeneity:** $\rho(\lambda X) = \lambda\rho(X)$.
- (iii) **Monotonicity:** If $P(X \leq Y) = 1$, then $\rho(X) \leq \rho(Y)$.
- (iv) **Subadditivity:** $\rho(X + Y) \leq \rho(X) + \rho(Y)$

Risk measures (4/4)

Example

The variance satisfies none of the properties (i) - (iv). For instance, we know that for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\sigma^2(aX + b) = a^2 \sigma^2(X)$$

showing that the variance is neither translation invariant nor positive homogenous. The standard deviation only satisfies (ii).

Value-at-Risk (1/3)

Value-at-Risk

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ be a fixed number and let X be a random variable. The cumulative distribution function of X is denoted by $F_X(\cdot)$. The **value-at-risk** is the α -quantile of X , i.e.

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha := \inf \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid F_X(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Value-at-Risk (2/3)

Example

A risky stock can realize the following gains or losses within one period

Loss	-500€	-300€	0€	100€	200€	400€	900€
Probability	15%	10%	35%	20%	15%	2%	3%

Calculate the value-at-risks for $\alpha = 0.9, 0.95, 0.96, 0.99$.

Value-at-Risk (3/3)

Theorem

The value-at-risk is translation-invariant, positively homogeneous and monotone.

Example

Consider two different stocks X_1 and X_2 of two companies.

Scenario	A	B	C
Loss X_1	100€	0€	-1€
Loss X_2	0€	100€	-1€
Probability	0.006	0.006	0.988

What is the value-at-risk for $\alpha = 0.99$ of X_1 , X_2 and $X_1 + X_2$?

Value-at-Risk (3/3)

Theorem

The value-at-risk is translation-invariant, positively homogeneous and monotone.

Example

Consider two different stocks X_1 and X_2 of two companies.

Scenario	A	B	C
Loss X_1	100€	0€	-1€
Loss X_2	0€	100€	-1€
Probability	0.006	0.006	0.988

What is the value-at-risk for $\alpha = 0.99$ of X_1 , X_2 and $X_1 + X_2$?

Gambling vs. System (1/4)

Lottery

Let us consider a very simple lottery. We draw 3 out of 7 numbers. The player wins a certain amount of money whenever he chose 2 or 3 numbers correctly. Let the payoff function be the following

Pair (deuce)	50 €
Triple	150 €

A lottery ticket costs 20 €.

Gambling vs. System (2/4)

Lottery

The expected value of a lottery ticket is

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= P(X = 2) \cdot 50 + P(X = 3) \cdot 150 = 12/35 \cdot 50 + 1/35 \cdot 150 \\ &= 21\frac{3}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the player decides to buy 7 tickets with expected value.

$$E[7X] = 150.$$

Question

How would you fill out the 7 lottery tickets?

Gambling vs. System (2/4)

Lottery

The expected value of a lottery ticket is

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= P(X = 2) \cdot 50 + P(X = 3) \cdot 150 = 12/35 \cdot 50 + 1/35 \cdot 150 \\ &= 21\frac{3}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the player decides to buy 7 tickets with expected value.

$$E[7X] = 150.$$

Question

How would you fill out the 7 lottery tickets?

Gambling vs. System (3/4)

1. Tactics (Gambling)

The probability to have a triple is $\frac{7}{35} = 20\%$. The probability to have deuces is binomial distributed with $n = 7$ and $p = \frac{12}{35}$. That means:

0 deuces	5,3 %
1 deuce	19,3 %
2 deuces	30,3 %
3 deuces	26,3 %
4 deuces	13,7 %
5 deuces	4,3 %
6 deuces	0,7 %
7 deuces	0,1 %

Gambling vs. System (4/4)

2. Tactics (Quasi-Monte Carlo Approach)

We select the following 7 lottery tickets

124, 135, 167, 257, 347, 236, 456.

The probabilities of deuces are the following:

0 deuces	20 %
3 deuces	80 %

It is easy to check that we have a triple if and only if we have 0 deuces. We thus always get a payoff of 150€. We eliminated the risk.

Mathematical description

Find an approximation of

$$E[X] = \int xf(x) dx,$$

which converges as quickly as possible.

The central question of Quasi-Monte Carlo

Find a sequence of points $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that the integral

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \rightarrow \int f(x) dx$$

converges as fast as possible! To make the problem more interesting (and harder) the function f is arbitrary, i.e. unknown in advance.

Mathematical description

Find an approximation of

$$E[X] = \int xf(x) dx,$$

which converges as quickly as possible.

The central question of Quasi-Monte Carlo

Find a sequence of points $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that the integral

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \rightarrow \int f(x) dx$$

converges as fast as possible! To make the problem more interesting (and harder) the function f is arbitrary, i.e. unknown in advance.

References

- [1] N. Barraco, *Parametric Error in LSMC Methodology*, Master Thesis, Universität Ulm, 2017.
- [2] M. Capiński, T. Zastawniak,, *Mathematics for Finance*, Springer, 2003.
- [3] P. Glasserman, *Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering*, Springer, 2003.
- [4] J. Ellenberg, *How Not To Be Wrong*, Penguin, 2014.
- [5] A. Hirn, C. Weiß, *Analysis - Grundlagen und Exkurse: Grundprinzipien der Differential- und Integralrechnung*, Springer, 2018.
- [6] A. McNeil, R. Frey, P. Embrechts, *Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques and Tools*, Princeton Series in Finance, 2005.